GPATS Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) Criteria Ranking Form

Proje	ct name:	
1). EL	IGIBILITY	
A pro	pject must meet the following three eligibility requirements in orde	er to be considered:
•	Applicant is an eligible entity for TAP funding The project meets eligibility requirements The applicant has no current TAP or Transportation Enhanceme 50 percent completion	nt-funded project below
2). EF	FECTIVENESS	Mark if YES (1 point each)
	 A. Project supports a community's Complete Streets policy, is on a designated local, state, or national bicycle trail, is part of a local, regional, or statewide initiative, and/or provides connectivity to other facilities or regions of activity B. Completes planned corridors, fills gaps in network(s) C. Completes regional planned corridors, fills in gaps in a regional network D. Connects users to a destination, acting as an alternate form of transportation that is not for recreational use only. E. In conjunction with other infrastructure work (e.g., State Resurfacing or a Guideshare project) F. Part of an economic development or community 	

improvement initiative (e.g., implementation of completed plan or study)	
G. One or more jurisdiction (county, city etc.) is partnering on the project	
3). SAFETY AND LIVABILITY	
A. Project addresses safety	
B. Enhances livability, demonstrates quality of experience, improves quality of life, and/or improves population health	
C. Serves and would benefit the local population and/or Employees	
D. Project is located in an area of high need	
(greater than or equal to 20% of population within census tract in poverty)	
E. Project has documented community support (letters of	
Support, outside funding ,etc)	
4). CONSTRUCTABILITY	
A. Project feasibility	
B. Concurrence with SCDOT Design Standards https://www.scdot.org/business/road-design.aspx	
<u>imps.//www.scaor.org/posiness/road-design.aspx</u>	
C. Realistic scope and schedule	
D. Project readiness – Is the project one that can start with relative ease? Are there complications that will	
postpone the project, such as excessive right-of-way	

acquisition? Could these complications postpone the project and cause lapsing funds?				
5). FINANCIAL ELEMENTS				
 A. Realistic expectations and cost that are in agreement with SCDOT estimates B. Local-match funding higher than the 20% minimum C. Local match secured D. Other, non-TAP work determined will benefit project (e.g., Local funds spent to improve infrastructure adjacent to TAP project) E. Evidence of a strong maintenance plan that includes tasks, schedule, cost, source of maintenance funding, and responsible parties 				
TOTAL (of 21 possible points)				